APR 1 2 2005
FCC - MAILROOM

## lynn robinson

po.box 57, loda, Illinois 60948-0057

April 03, 2005 04:08 AM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Lynn Fatingan

No. of Copies rec'd O
List ABCDE



## **Debbie A Bauer**

391 Elk St, Albany, New York 12206-2701

April 05, 2005 12:29 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Debbiea. Bauer

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Debbie A Bauer

No. of Copies roo'd O List ABCDE RECEIVED & INSPECTED

APR 1 3 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

## Bruce & Cheri Ziemienski

7120 Tiverton Way, Riverside, California 92506-6124

April 08, 2005 03:03 AM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

We do not want to pay more for my telephone service! We urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. We are concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

We use our wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. We don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. We urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Bruce & Cheri Ziemienski

**RECEIVED & INSPECTED** 

APR 1 3 2005

## Terry Hoeckelberg

P.O.Box 263, Worden, Montana 59088

FCC - MAILROOM

April 04, 2005 09:34 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Terry Hoeckelberg

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE